

**IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS NOTICE AND ARE A
CONTRACT-COUNTERPARTY TO AN AGREEMENT WITH
THE DEBTORS, PLEASE REVIEW EXHIBIT A, ATTACHED
TO THE MOTION (AS DEFINED BELOW), TO DETERMINE IF THE
MOTION AFFECTS YOUR AGREEMENT AND YOUR RIGHTS THEREUNDER.**

Harvey R. Miller
Stephen Karotkin
Joseph H. Smolinsky
WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

Attorneys for Debtors and
Debtors in Possession

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11 Case No.
	:	
MOTORS LIQUIDATION COMPANY, <i>et al.</i>,	:	09-50026 (REG)
f/k/a General Motors Corp., <i>et al.</i>	:	
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----X		

**NOTICE OF DEBTORS' SEVENTH OMNIBUS MOTION PURSUANT
TO 11 U.S.C. § 365 TO REJECT CERTAIN EXECUTORY CONTRACTS**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that upon the annexed motion, dated September 23, 2009 (the “**Motion**”), of Motors Liquidation Company (f/k/a General Motors Corporation) and its affiliated debtors, as debtors in possession (collectively, the “**Debtors**”), for an order, pursuant to section 365 of title 11, United States Code to reject certain executory contracts (collectively, the “**Executory Contracts**”), as more fully set forth in the Motion, a hearing will

be held before the Honorable Robert E. Gerber, United States Bankruptcy Judge, in Room 621 of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004, on **October 6, 2009 at 9:45 a.m. (Eastern Time)**, or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any responses or objections to the Motion must be in writing, shall conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and the Local Rules of the Bankruptcy Court, and shall be filed with the Bankruptcy Court (a) electronically in accordance with General Order M-242 (which can be found at www.nysb.uscourts.gov) by registered users of the Bankruptcy Court's filing system, and (b) by all other parties in interest, on a 3.5 inch disk, preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect, or any other Windows-based word processing format (with a hard copy delivered directly to Chambers), in accordance with General Order M-182 (which can be found at www.nysb.uscourts.gov), and served in accordance with General Order M-242, and on (i) Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP, attorneys for the Debtors, 767 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10153 (Attn: Harvey R. Miller, Esq., Stephen Karotkin, Esq., and Joseph H. Smolinsky, Esq.); (ii) the Debtors, c/o Motors Liquidation Company, 300 Renaissance Center, Detroit, Michigan 48265 (Attn: Ted Stenger); (iii) General Motors Company, 300 Renaissance Center, Detroit, Michigan 48265 (Attn: Lawrence S. Buonomo, Esq.); (iv) Cadwalader, Wickersham & Taft LLP, attorneys for the United States Department of the Treasury, One World Financial Center, New York, New York 10281 (Attn: John J. Rapisardi, Esq.); (v) the United States Department of the Treasury, 1500 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Room 2312, Washington, DC 20220 (Attn: Joseph Samarias, Esq.); (vi) Vedder Price, P.C., attorneys for Export Development Canada, 1633 Broadway, 47th Floor, New York, New York 10019 (Attn: Michael J. Edelman, Esq. and

Michael L. Schein, Esq.); (vii) Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP, attorneys for the statutory committee of unsecured creditors, 1177 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York 10036 (Attn: Adam C. Rogoff, Esq., Robert T. Schmidt, Esq., and Amy Caton, Esq.); (xii) the Office of the United States Trustee for the Southern District of New York, 33 Whitehall Street, 21st Floor, New York, New York 10004 (Attn: Diana G. Adams, Esq.); and (xiii) the U.S. Attorney's Office, S.D.N.Y., 86 Chambers Street, Third Floor, New York, New York 10007 (Attn: David S. Jones, Esq. and Matthew L. Schwartz, Esq.), so as to be received no later than **October 1, 2009 at 4:00 p.m. (Eastern Time)** (the "**Objection Deadline**").

If no objections are timely filed and served with respect to the Motion, the Debtors may, on or after the Objection Deadline, submit to the Bankruptcy Court an order substantially in the form of the proposed order annexed to the Motion, which order may be entered with no further notice or opportunity to be heard offered to any party.

Dated: New York, New York
September 23, 2009

/s/Joseph H. Smolinsky

Harvey R. Miller
Stephen Karotkin
Joseph H. Smolinsky

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

Attorneys for Debtors
and Debtors in Possession

IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS MOTION AND ARE A CONTRACT-COUNTERPARTY TO AN AGREEMENT WITH THE DEBTORS, PLEASE REVIEW EXHIBIT A, ATTACHED HERETO, TO DETERMINE IF THIS MOTION AFFECTS YOUR AGREEMENT AND YOUR RIGHTS THEREUNDER.

Harvey R. Miller
Stephen Karotkin
Joseph H. Smolinsky
WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

Attorneys for Debtors and
Debtors in Possession

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11 Case No.
	:	
MOTORS LIQUIDATION COMPANY, <i>et al.</i> ,	:	09-50026 (REG)
f/k/a General Motors Corp., <i>et al.</i>	:	
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	
-----X	:	

**DEBTORS' SEVENTH OMNIBUS MOTION PURSUANT
TO 11 U.S.C § 365 TO REJECT CERTAIN EXECUTORY CONTRACTS**

TO THE HONORABLE ROBERT E. GERBER,
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

Motors Liquidation Company (f/k/a General Motors Corporation) and its affiliated debtors, as debtors in possession in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases (collectively, the “**Debtors**”), respectfully represent:

Relief Requested

1. Pursuant to section 365(a) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “**Bankruptcy Code**”) and Rules 6006 and 9014 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “**Bankruptcy Rules**”), the Debtors request authorization to reject certain executory contracts (the “**Executory Contracts**”). A list identifying and describing the Executory Contracts is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**. A proposed form of order (the “**Order**”) is attached hereto as **Exhibit B**.

2. The Debtors request that the rejection of those Executory Contracts relating to mobile equipment leases be effective as of September 30, 2009. With respect to all of the other Executory Contracts, the Debtors request that the rejections be effective as of October 6, 2009.

Jurisdiction

3. This Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b). Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

Background

4. On June 1, 2009, the Debtors filed a motion (the “**Sale Motion**”), requesting, *inter alia*, an order (the “**Sale Order**”), pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 105, 363(b), (f), and (m), and 365, authorizing and approving (i) the sale of substantially all of the Debtors’ assets pursuant to a proposed Master Sale and Purchase Agreement and related agreements (the “**MPA**”) among the Debtors and NGMCO, Inc. (n/k/a General Motors Company) (“**New GM**”), a purchaser sponsored by the United States Department of the Treasury (the “**U.S. Treasury**”), free and clear of liens, claims, encumbrances, and other interests, (ii) the assumption and

assignment of certain executory contracts and unexpired leases of personal property and of nonresidential real property, and (iii) the approval of the UAW Retiree Settlement Agreement, subject to higher or better offers (the “**363 Transaction**”).

5. On July 5, 2009, the Court approved the 363 Transaction and entered the Sale Order, and on July 10, 2009, the 363 Transaction closed. Accordingly, the Debtors no longer operate as manufacturers of any GM branded motor vehicles, nor do they retain the rights to use GM trademarks in the wind-down of their business. All such manufacturing operations and trademark rights have been sold to New GM pursuant to the 363 Transaction.

The Executory Contracts

6. The Debtors are currently undergoing a comprehensive review of their executory contracts to determine which contracts to assume and which to reject. Because the Debtors have sold substantially all of their assets in the 363 Transaction and are now winding down their remaining operations, the Debtors no longer require certain executory contracts and will seek to reject those contracts that provide no meaningful value or benefit to the Debtors’ estates. The Debtors have reviewed the Executory Contracts that are the subject of this Motion and have determined, in the exercise of their sound business judgment, that continuing the Executory Contracts would be burdensome and would provide no corresponding benefit or utility to the Debtors’ estates.

7. The Executory Contracts include: (1) various marketing, sponsorship and hospitality agreements relating to GM branded vehicles, (2) mobile equipment leases relating to machinery that is no longer being utilized by the Debtors, (3) a cellular phone service agreement relating to corporate aircrafts no longer leased by the Debtors, (4) an industry track agreement for rail to a GM facility that is no longer operational, and (5) an environmental indemnification

agreement. After reviewing the Executory Contracts, New GM elected not to take assignment of any of the Executory Contracts.

8. The Debtors' primary business purpose at this stage in their chapter 11 cases is to liquidate the assets remaining following the close of the 363 Transaction in an efficient and cost-effective manner to maximize the value of the recovery for their creditors. The Executory Contracts are not necessary for the Debtors' continuing business operations or the administration of the Debtors' estates, and maintaining the Executory Contracts would impose unnecessary costs and burdens on the Debtors' estates. The Debtors have also explored the possibility of marketing the Executory Contracts, but have determined that doing so would provide no meaningful benefit or value to the Debtors' estates. Accordingly, the Debtors submit this Motion to reject the Executory Contracts.

**Rejection of the Executory Contracts Is Supported by the
Debtors' Business Judgment and Should Be Approved by the Court**

9. Section 365(a) of the Bankruptcy Code provides, in pertinent part, that a debtor in possession, "subject to the court's approval, may assume or reject any executory contract or unexpired lease of the debtor." *See NLRB v. Bildisco & Bildisco*, 465 U.S. 513, 521 (1984); *see also In re Lavigne*, 114 F.3d 379, 386 (2d Cir. 1997). "[T]he purpose behind allowing the assumption or rejection of executory contracts is to permit the trustee or debtor-in-possession to use valuable property of the estate and to 'renounce title to and abandon burdensome property.' " *Orion Pictures Corp. v. Showtime Networks, Inc. (In re Orion Pictures Corp.)*, 4 F.3d 1095, 1098 (2d Cir. 1993), *cert. dismissed*, 511 U.S. 1026 (1994).

10. Courts defer to a debtor's business judgment in rejecting an executory contract or unexpired lease, and upon finding that a debtor has exercised its sound business judgment, approve the rejection under section 365(a) of the Bankruptcy Code. *See Bildisco &*

Bildisco, 465 U.S. at 523 (recognizing the “business judgment” standard used to approve rejection of executory contracts and unexpired leases); *Nostas Assocs. v. Costich (In re Klein Sleep Products, Inc.)*, 78 F.3d 18, 25 (2d Cir. 1996) (recognizing the “business judgment” standard used to approve rejection of executory contracts); *In re Minges*, 602 F.2d 38, 42–43 (2d Cir. 1979) (holding that the “business judgment” test is appropriate for determining when an executory contract can be rejected); *In re G Survivor Corp.*, 171 B.R. 755, 757 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1994), *aff’d*, 187 B.R. 111 (S.D.N.Y. 1995) (approving rejection of license by debtor because such rejection satisfied the “business judgment” test); *In re Child World, Inc.*, 142 B.R. 87, 89 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1992) (stating that a debtor may assume or reject an unexpired lease under § 365(a) in the exercise of its “business judgment”).

11. The “business judgment” standard is not a strict standard; it requires only a showing that either assumption or rejection of the executory contract or unexpired lease will benefit the debtor’s estate. *See In re Helm*, 335 B.R. 528, 538 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1996) (“To meet the business judgment test, the debtor in possession must ‘establish that rejection will benefit the estate.’ ”) (citation omitted); *In re Balco Equities, Inc.*, 323 B.R. 85, 99 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2005) (“In determining whether the debtor has employed reasonable business discretion, the court for the most part must only determine that the rejection will likely benefit the estate.”) (quoting *G Survivor*, 171 B.R. at 757)). Further, under the business judgment standard, “[a] debtor’s decision to reject an executory contract must be summary affirmed unless it is the product of ‘bad faith, or whim or caprice’ ” *In re Trans World Airlines, Inc.*, 261 B.R. 103, 121 (Bankr. D. Del. 2001).

12. As noted above, the Debtors have reviewed the Executory Contracts and have determined that in light of the sale of substantially all of the Debtors’ assets and subsequent

wind-down, the Executory Contracts are no longer necessary for or beneficial to the Debtors' ongoing business, and create unnecessary and burdensome expenses for the Debtors' estates. In addition, the Debtors have determined that no meaningful value would be realized by the Debtors if the Executory Contracts were assumed and assigned to third parties. Accordingly, the Executory Contracts should be rejected.

Notice

13. Notice of this Motion has been provided to parties in interest in accordance with the Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 1015(c) and 9007 Establishing Notice and Case Management Procedures, dated August 3, 2009 [Docket No. 3629]. The Debtors submit that such notice is sufficient and no other or further notice need be provided.

WHEREFORE, the Debtors respectfully request that the Court enter an order granting the relief requested herein and such other and further relief as is just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York
September 23, 2009

/s/Joseph H. Smolinsky

Harvey R. Miller
Stephen Karotkin
Joseph H. Smolinsky

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10153
Telephone: (212) 310-8000
Facsimile: (212) 310-8007

Attorneys for Debtors
and Debtors in Possession

Exhibit A

Executory Contracts

	Contract Counterparty	Counterparty Address	Contract Description	Contract Date	Rejection Date
1	First American Capital	Republic Financial Attn: Michelle Young 3300 South Parker Road Aurora, CO 80014	Mobile Equipment Lease No. 1053	6/1/1999	9/30/2009
2	JW Marriott Starr Pass Resort & Spa	JW Marriott Starr Pass Resort & Spa Attn: Marcia Kleiman 3800 W. Starr Pass Blvd. Tucson, AZ 85745	Contract to Host Promotional Event	1/30/2008	10/6/2009
3	Verizon Airfone, Inc.	LiveTV Airfone Attn: Dave Francisco 700 S. Babcock Street Melbourne, FL 32901	Contract for Cellular Telephone Service for Corporate Aircraft	8/11/2005	10/6/2009
4	WFI Stadium, Inc. (FedEx Stadium)	Washington Redskins FedEx Field Attn: Jason Friedman, VP Sales 1600 FedEx Way Landover, MD 20785	Washington Redskins Seating Contract	2/1/2008	10/6/2009
5	The Galleria General Joint Venture	Wilson, Cribbs & Goren Attn: Abe S. Goren 440 Louisiana Street, Suite 2200 Houston, TX 77002-1644	Indemnification Agreement	12/7/1994	10/6/2009
6	Union Pacific Railroad Company Montbello Tri-Continental Property Company NV	Union Pacific Attn: Legal Department P.O. Box 5523 T.A.S. Denver, CO 80217 Union Pacific Contract & Real Estate Dept. Attn: Legal Department 1416 Dodge Street, Room 1100 Omaha, NE 68179 Montbello Tri-Continental Property Company NV c/o Multi National Management Group, Inc. Attn: Legal Department 208 South LaSalle Street Chicago, IL 60604	Industry Track Contract for Rail to GM Facility	3/15/1972	10/6/2009

Exhibit B

Proposed Order

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

-----X	
	:
In re	:
	:
MOTORS LIQUIDATION COMPANY, <i>et al.</i>,	:
f/k/a General Motors Corp., <i>et al.</i>	:
	:
Debtors.	:
	:
-----X	

	Chapter 11 Case No.
	09-50026 (REG)
	(Jointly Administered)

**SEVENTH OMNIBUS ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 365
TO REJECT CERTAIN EXECUTORY CONTRACTS**

Upon the motion, dated September 23, 2009 (the “**Motion**”)¹, of Motors Liquidation Company (f/k/a General Motors Corporation) and its affiliated debtors, as debtors in possession in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases (collectively, the “**Debtors**”), pursuant to section 365(a) of title 11, United States Code (the “**Bankruptcy Code**”), for entry of an order authorizing the Debtors to reject certain executory contracts, all as more fully described in the Motion; and due and proper notice of the Motion having been provided, and it appearing that no other or further notice need be provided; and the Court having found and determined that the relief sought in the Motion is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, creditors, and all parties in interest and that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Motion establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is

¹ Capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Motion.

ORDERED that each of the Executory Contracts listed on **Annex I** attached hereto is an executory contract capable of being rejected under section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code; and it is further

ORDERED that the rejection of the Executory Contracts, as set forth herein, (1) constitutes an exercise of sound business judgment by the Debtors, made in good faith and for legitimate commercial reasons; (2) is appropriate and necessary under the circumstances described in the Motion; and (3) is warranted and permissible under sections 105 and 365 of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 6006; and it is further

ORDERED that pursuant to section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rules 6006 and 9014, the rejection of the Executory Contracts listed on **Annex I** attached hereto and all related amendments and supplements thereto is hereby authorized and approved, effective as of the rejection dates (the “**Rejection Dates**”) set forth on **Annex I**; and it is further

ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to the implementation, interpretation and/or enforcement of this Order.

Dated: _____, 2009
New York, New York

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

Annex I

Executory Contracts

	Contract Counterparty	Counterparty Address	Contract Description	Contract Date	Rejection Date
1	First American Capital	Republic Financial Attn: Michelle Young 3300 South Parker Road Aurora, CO 80014	Mobile Equipment Lease No. 1053	6/1/1999	9/30/2009
2	JW Marriott Starr Pass Resort & Spa	JW Marriott Starr Pass Resort & Spa Attn: Marcia Kleiman 3800 W. Starr Pass Blvd. Tucson, AZ 85745	Contract to Host Promotional Event	1/30/2008	10/6/2009
3	Verizon Airfone, Inc.	LiveTV Airfone Attn: Dave Francisco 700 S. Babcock Street Melbourne, FL 32901	Contract for Cellular Telephone Service for Corporate Aircraft	8/11/2005	10/6/2009
4	WFI Stadium, Inc. (FedEx Stadium)	Washington Redskins FedEx Field Attn: Jason Friedman, VP Sales 1600 FedEx Way Landover, MD 20785	Washington Redskins Seating Contract	2/1/2008	10/6/2009
5	The Galleria General Joint Venture	Wilson, Cribbs & Goren Attn: Abe S. Goren 440 Louisiana Street, Suite 2200 Houston, TX 77002-1644	Indemnification Agreement	12/7/1994	10/6/2009
6	Union Pacific Railroad Company Montbello Tri-Continental Property Company NV	Union Pacific Attn: Legal Department P.O. Box 5523 T.A.S. Denver, CO 80217 Union Pacific Contract & Real Estate Dept. Attn: Legal Department 1416 Dodge Street, Room 1100 Omaha, NE 68179 Montbello Tri-Continental Property Company NV c/o Multi National Management Group, Inc. Attn: Legal Department 208 South LaSalle Street Chicago, IL 60604	Industry Track Contract for Rail to GM Facility	3/15/1972	10/6/2009